

APPENDIX 1

Car Parking Consultation Results

A number of changes have been proposed to the charging structure, designation of certain car parks and changes to the current restrictions. In order to gain the views of residents and users of the facilities a consultation exercise was undertaken via the South Ribble Website, running between 27th August and 20th September 2020. A total of 114 responses were received from 86 individuals, the proposals and the results for the individual car parks are detailed below.

King Street, Leyland and Hope Terrace, Lostock Hall

Proposal:

1 hour free (no return within 3 hours), a ticket must be displayed
Up to 3 hours £1.00
All Day £3.00
7 days £12.00

King Street – Comments

1. Proposal would make King Street preferred long stay and free up other car parks for shoppers and visitors.

Hope Terrace, Lostock Hall – Comments

1. Wasn't aware of free parking - avoided Lostock Hall
2. Should be no charge, large amount of free parking in Bamber Bridge
3. Charges should be dropped, to stop workers parking in residential areas
4. What are the restrictions?
5. Reinstate the 1 hour free parking with blue lines
6. Should be £4 for all day and £15 for 7 days
7. 1 hour free bays should be retained, weekly ticket is a great idea
8. If 1 hour free is with displayed ticket will blue lines be removed
9. Agree in general, but should be strictly limited number of all day spaces
10. Make it free so we can do park and ride, would also sort out the Just Eat using DYL
11. Excellent idea
12. Disappointed not consulted directly. Concerns about proposal to increase charges to businesses and residents in spite of giving 1st hour free

Churchill Way and Sumner Street, Leyland

Proposal:

1 hour free (no return within 3 hours), a ticket must be displayed.
Up to 3 hours - £1.00 (no return within 3 hours)

Churchill Way – No comments received

Sumner Street, Leyland – Comments

1. Will annual permits be available?
2. Should be left as it is, possibly reduce 1 hour spaces

Ecroyd Street, Leyland

Proposal:

1 hour free (no return within 3 hours), a ticket must be displayed.

Up to 3 hours £1.00

Up to 5 hours £3.00

Over 5 hours £10.00

Ecroyd Street, Leyland – Comments

1. Should be a free periods 60-90 minutes to encourage shopper to the market and high street
2. Opposed to any increase or changes on Ecroyd Street. Asda has 2 hours free parking why can't we have the same?
3. Proposed £10 charge for over 5 hours seems excessive, however if this is to discourage all day parking, we understand

All Pay and Display Car Parks – General Comments

1. Should be free
2. Agree
3. Feel in order to stimulate local economy first hour should be free
1. Proposals are on the whole a good idea
2. Object to increased charges. Would like to see 2 -3 hours free parking
3. Need to encourage shoppers to stay longer - 2 hours free
4. Should be a reasonably priced all day parking for people who work
5. A free hour is good, enough time to go to the bank or pick up a snack, 2 hours would encourage people to shop as well
6. Weekly/monthly ticket should be available for King Street
7. Good to keep one hour free parking, don't think it's a good idea to get a ticket, waste of paper, energy and people will have to touch machine
8. Agree with all apart from Worden Park
9. I agree with proposal 1st hour free, no return within 3 hours
10. First hour free on all car parks a good idea, insisting on a ticket doesn't make sense - cost of ticket/ink
11. Parking charges appeal to be quite reasonable. More should be done to discourage people from illegal parking on Hough Lane (Chicken BBQ)
12. Free hour in town a great idea
13. I approve of the 1 hour free parking proposals
14. Charges proposed across all the car parks are good and should encourage people to go into the town centre to shop
15. 1 hour free, agree with this proposal it will encourage people to use the town centre facilities

Worden Park Main Car Park

Proposal:

No charge – Confirm the existing 90 minutes waiting restriction, no return within 3 hours (between the hours of 9.00am and 3.00pm, Monday to Friday, term time only) – a ticket must be displayed

Worden Park - Comments

1. 90 minutes completely unsuitable
2. Should be 2 hours
3. 90 minutes not long enough to enjoy the park

4. 90 minutes is not sufficient to take children to the park
5. 90 minutes is too stingy, penalises genuine users of the park
6. 90 minutes too short, 2 hours would be better
7. Should be no need to time parking to 90 minutes, plenty of room with the overspill car park
8. 90 minutes is not long enough for football matches
9. Students have to park, so will find alternative places
10. 90 minutes is not enough to enjoy the park, needs to be a max 3 hours. If proposal is to reduce students another solution needs to be found
11. Feel there should be a minimum of 2 hours free stay on Worden Park at weekends
12. 90 minutes not long enough, 90 minutes free then pay for an extra hour
13. 90 minutes detrimental to pleasurable activity. Maybe 1 hour free than a charge would be a good compromise
14. We understand the need for changes to regulations due to large number of students, this will lead to parking violations in Ennerdale Close
15. A lot of visitors wish to stay longer than 90 minutes, 1st hour free then £x for up to 3 hours, £y all day
16. Issue permits for the overflow car park to students - generate funds
17. Limit parking to 90 minutes will only encourage students/patrons of the park to use local streets
18. Reference notification leaflet - I agree some form of regular monitoring and enforcement for the estate and road in area
19. 90 minutes penalises families, far too short a time. Four hours would be more practical
20. Time limit far too short, will result in the road of estate becoming an alternative car park
21. Hope the changes to parking restriction do not have an adverse effect on the surrounding street
22. Students from Runshaw should NOT be allowed to use Worden Park car park
23. 90 minutes restriction really affects the users of this excellent facility
24. As a local resident we back the change, but we have concerns over how this is going to be policed
25. Object to time limit, unless legal restrictions where placed on Parkgate Drive
26. Concerned parking changes, Edale Close may become a car park for students
27. Concern that parking restrictions will mean students will park on the estate roads
28. Students and parents already use Cairndale Drive as an 'extended car park' leaving engine running.
29. Agree with the changes but hope the current yellow lines and access only will be adhered to
30. 90 minutes is too short for an "allowed stay"
31. 90 minutes ridiculous, should be at least 3 hours
32. Formally object to the introduction of 90 minutes parking restrictions.
33. Rather alarmed at the proposal to limit car parking to 90 minutes. People come to the park to relax not clock watch
34. Better to apply a £1 charge for up to three hours and then a further charge for longer
35. We already have problems with students disregarding the yellow lines on our road and leaving litter. Yellow lines need to be re-instated and enforcement
36. Disappointed to see SRBC considering applying a time limit to park. 90 minutes isn't long enough
37. 90 minutes ridiculous, families with pre-school children being penalised
38. 90 minute parking limit is just not long enough
39. In principle agree with the restrictions to the parking remains for the park users rather than students. I would suggest 2 hour parking rather than 90 minutes
40. I can understand why the 90 minute limit is proposed, I do feel it is too short for visiting the park. May I suggest 2 hours between 9am and 3pm
41. 90 minutes will impact on the Folly café
42. Problems with students parking in residential streets
43. The new 90 minute parking is simply not long enough

44. Concerned that the proposed changes will increase the illegal use of Worden Close by both students and park users
45. Where do they go when the car park is full - our road (Ennerdale Close) Please open the overflow car park
46. Further amendment to the time restriction could impact on residential streets, permits could be issued to residents to allow their visitors to park
47. Disagree with proposal to limit 90 minutes parking
48. Parking not a personal issued as I live within walking distance to the park
49. The blame for parking problems lies with the Planning Department for allowing college to build on car park
50. Overflow car park should be dedicated to student parking and permits hold - may even turn over a profit
51. The sixth form college should have provided adequate car parking before being allowed to expand. Not noticed traffic problems only at weekends
52. No logical reason to change for car parking, the cost of employing wardens is likely to be greater than the parking fees collected
53. 90 minute limit is clearly aimed at students but will cause resentment from other people
54. 90 minute restriction - 1. Impact on visitors to the park, 2. Impact on residents on the Worden estate and surrounding residential roads
55. If 90 minute limit was introduced this would be concern to us and other SR residents
56. 90 minute restriction is going to adversely affect the enjoyment of the park for our residents
57. Against the proposed change
58. If this time limit is being introduced to stop students parking, then it is local residents and park users who will be penalised
59. This seems an attempt to prevent students parking with no consideration given to any alternative car parking provision for visitors or students
60. 90 minutes is not the amount of time needed to enjoy the park
61. Concerned that the 90 minute restriction will encourage visitors and students to park on the surrounding residential streets
62. The 90 minute restriction will surely force students to park on the surrounding roads. 90 minutes also restricts people who are using the park and facilities
63. Totally disagree that parking should be restricted to 90 minutes. Understand issues with students parking, unreasonable that the general public should have their access reduced
64. Runshaw College has failed to provide adequate car parking
65. Reducing the student cars in the park would be welcomed and long overdue if it can be achieved
66. The park is for the use of people of Leyland who will be seriously inconvenienced as 90 minutes is not long enough for families to fully use the facilities
67. Broadly supportive in principle in order to prevent abuse by students, though detrimental effect of the taking of the small businesses
68. Potential impact on the adjacent residential roads - a 3 hour restriction on parking would be far more appropriate
69. Why spend money on the overflow car park then discourage people, especially students from using it. Could P&D be considered to help fund overflow expansion?
70. Concerned about the potential problem to surrounding streets if restrictions are implemented
71. We do not consider 90 minutes is enough time to people to make use of the extensive facilities in the park. Two hours would be more reasonable
72. The problem is that the 'overspill' car park is not in use, this is understandable with the state of it and well know that some students tended to use it as a playground
73. Have you reached the 90 minute parking according to the class times at the college?
74. The 90 minute stay will have an effect on those of us who use the park daily, also effect the trade at the café
75. I am very concerned that the proposal will discourage students from parking but result in increased congestion on the Worden Park estate

76. I think that this option does not showcase Worden Park to its full advantage, looking as it is an overflow carpark for students
77. Welcome the universal charging, ticket display and supervision of all car parks
78. Many residential issues with Worden Park vehicle parking, the time limits need to be more specific and reflect the extensive opening time of the college

East Street, Leyland

Additionally it is proposed to introduce the following restrictions on East Street Car Park, Leyland (currently no charge or restriction)

1 hour free (no return within 3 hours), a ticket must be displayed.

Up to 3 hours £1.00 (no return within 3 hours)

Except for permit holders (permits restricted to the residents of East Street, at a cost of £28.60 per annum).

The residents of East Street were all consulted, 6 residents forwarded their comments:

Do you have problems parking- YES = 4, NO = 2

Where do you currently park:

Round the back of the flats

Anywhere I can find – layby, car park

On road

Where ever we can

Spring Street

At the side of my house on Spring Street

Would you be interested in Residents Parking Permit – YES = 5, NO = 1

Other comments:

I have said YES, however I do not believe I should have to pay when there are no further options to park. The lay-by is restricted times and we cannot park on single yellow lines. Therefore I have no choice but to park on the car park and I do not see why I should have to pay to park where I live. I would maybe suggest residents obtain a free permit and visitors follow the above proposal

Even though I only have one car, with only 1 permit per household this may cause problems as I know a few residents have two cars and would probably oppose this due to them having nowhere to park the other car

Unfair that the Spring Street residents should park free

Car park needs regular cleaning and monitoring as non stop drug dealing going on at car park